



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/621,669

07/17/2003

Jean-Claude Dispensa

FR920020044US1

2080

26681

7590

01/16/2009

Driggs, Hogg, Daugherty & Del Zoppo Co., L.P.A.

38500 CHARDON ROAD

DEPT. IEN

WILLOUGHBY HILLS, OH 44094

EXAMINER

KEEHN, RICHARD G

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2456

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/16/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/621,669	<b>Applicant(s)</b> DISPENSA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Richard G. Keehn	<b>Art Unit</b> 2456	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Richard G. Keehn. (3) Katharyn E. Owen (62849).

(2) Yasin Barqadle. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,744,739 B2 (Martin) and US 6,915,457 B2 (Miller).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendments with respect to prior art cited. Applicant will file an official response. Examiner will respond with appropriate action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Yasin M Barqadle/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2456	
---	--